

To: Albright, David[Albright.David@epa.gov]
From: Montgomery, Michael
Sent: Tue 9/16/2014 11:19:28 PM
Subject: RE: Story on CA injection wells

agreed

From: Albright, David
Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2014 3:57 PM
To: Montgomery, Michael
Subject: RE: Story on CA injection wells

Possibly, but just more speculation on our part. Reporter should do her homework and clarify with DOGGR, who provided the information.

From: Montgomery, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2014 2:12 PM
To: Albright, David
Subject: RE: Story on CA injection wells

EOR vs Disposal?

From: Albright, David
Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2014 12:40 PM
To: Mogharabi, Nahal; Montgomery, Michael
Cc: Johnson, AudreyL
Subject: RE: Story on CA injection wells

Hi Nahal,

DOGGR submits their Class II (oil and gas related) injection well inventory to EPA on an annual basis. The latest Class II injection well inventory they reported was 51,257 wells. That number would include both active wells and temporarily idled wells. However, there are certainly substantially more active injection wells than 988, so I am not sure what that number she got

from DOGGR is. Perhaps she asked about wells within a certain geographic footprint? Not sure.

David

From: Mogharabi, Nahal
Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2014 11:53 AM
To: Montgomery, Michael; Albright, David
Cc: Johnson, AudreyL
Subject: FW: Story on CA injection wells

Mike/David,

Do we have a response to the below?

From: Hannah Guzik [<mailto:hannahguzik@gmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2014 11:32 AM
To: Mogharabi, Nahal
Subject: Re: Story on CA injection wells

Thanks, Nahal. Still waiting for the answers to the other questions, but I wanted to clarify the 50,000 injection wells number you gave me. DOGGR says there are 988 active injection wells in the state. Can you explain the discrepancy to me?

Thanks!

8411